

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/667,036	09/22/2003	Jeyhan Karaoguz	14967US02	7866	
,	7590 01/03/2008 S HELD & MALLOV		EXAMINER		
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD 500 WEST MADISON STREET			HAMILTON, LALITA M		
SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL	60661		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
011101100,12			3691		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			01/03/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/667,036	KARAOGUZ ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lalita M. Hamilton	3691		

	Lalita M. Hamilton	3691					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>December 4, 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);	•				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	:						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
 11.		in condition for allowa	nice because.				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	LALITA M. HAMILTON						
	PRIMARY EXAMINER	•					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that McEvilly does not disclose a user interface, at the first home, having at least one user defined media channel, the at least one user defined media channel comprising sequence of user selected and scheduled media, the user interface supporting selection and scheduling of the media. In response, McEvilly discloses that the menu design, selections, and content displayed to a user based on user profile data and usage information maintained by the system in one or more databases. The stored user profile data and usage information may be used by the system to create a personalized menu including design elements, services, and content based on the profile data and usage information of the user which the menu will be presented (p.3, 50).

The Applicant argues that McEvilly does not disclose the creation by a first user of one or more media channels for distribution to an authorized second user at a second location remote from the first location. In response, McEvilly discloses that in one embodiment, the user gained access to services and media content using a user set top box and a television. However, the machine may operate as a peer-to-peer network, which includes other locations different from that of the first user (p.27, 328). In addition, the machine may be a PC, set top box, PVR, PDA, etc.

The Applicant argues that McEvilly does not disclose a "server software that receives from the first home at the associated first protocol address, via a communication network, a request for the delivery of the media...and that responds by coordinating delivery of the media from one of the first storage and the at least one server to the (second television in the second home). In response, McEvilly discloses In response, McEvilly discloses that in one embodiment, the user gained access to services and media content using a user set top box and a television. However, the machine may operate as a peer-to-peer network, which includes other locations different from that of the first user (p.27, 328). In addition, the machine may be a PC, set top box, PVR, PDA, etc.

The Applicant argues that McEvilly does not disclose "wherein each of the one or more media channels comprises a sequence of media content selected by the first user, which is made available for consumption by the second user of the media exchange network at time scheduled by the first user"; "a user interface having at least one user defined media channel comprising a sequence of user selected and scheduled media, the user interface supporting selection and scheduling of the media"; or "server software that receives via a communication network a request for the delivery of the media, the request comprising information securing payment for delivery, and that responds by coordinating the delivery of the media from a storage at a second location to a television display at a third location for consumption". In response, McEvilly discloses that the menu design, selections, and content displayed to a user based on user profile data and usage information maintained by the system in one or more databases. The stored user profile data and usage information may be used by the system to create a personalized menu including design elements, services, and content based on the profile data and usage information of the user which the menu will be presented (p.3, 50). The billing server (29) is responsible for tracking payment of bills and for blocking the provision of services or content to users if they do not make payment (p.12, 158).

LALITA M. HAMILTON